INTRODUCTION
Media figures and politicians alike have demanded “proof” regarding Congresswoman Michele Bachmann’s allegations that Huma Abedin has connections and family ties with the Muslim Brotherhood. It is understandable that many want to get to the bottom of this story regarding Huma herself. Many even demanded that Bachmann offer a public apology to Huma Abedin. Others watch the media and listen to politicians that provide short, nondescript arguments. An apology by Bachmann in this case is unnecessary since we have established what is probably the most extensive research done to date on the matter; the readers can decide for themselves by examining the overwhelming evidence to see that in reality that it is Bachmann who is owed an apology and has a valid point to demand the vetting of Huma Abedin, the aid to Secretary of State Hillary Clinton. Bachmann’s focus was hardly on Huma Abedin, but the infiltration of extremist Muslims into government. An issue that was completely ignored is the level of importance that should be given to vetting government employees.

We are sure that many will point to ‘specs’ of errors in our findings in an attempt to lead many to ignore the ‘mountain’ of evidence we present. The fact is that politicians are responsible for causing the controversy to escalate. John McCain – along with other politicians – denounced Bachmann and demanded “proof” regarding such ties. Said McCain:

“The letter alleges that three members of Huma’s family are ‘connected to Muslim Brotherhood operatives and/or organizations… these sinister accusations rest solely on a few unspecified and unsubstantiated associations of members of Huma’s family”.

Rep. Keith Ellison told Anderson Cooper on CNN on July 17th that:

“U.S. Rep. Michele Bachmann’s allegations of a Muslim Brotherhood “deep penetration” of the federal government is “untrue” and a “phantom.”

We will prove beyond doubt that McCain, Ellison and the others are wrong. The evidence will show that Bachmann’s claim is not based on a “phantom,” “sinister accusation,” or “unspecified and unsubstantiated associations of members of Huma’s family.”

MEDIA / POLITICIANS VS. EVIDENCE
Honest researchers at times need to differentiate between what the ‘media’ and ‘politicians’ say, versus ‘verifiable proof’, which we will present in specific detail, by providing the facts, mostly from Arabic sources, both secular and Islamic, which the media and politicians did not research due to linguistic limitations. This is no excuse since they could have easily commissioned linguists to examine the Arabic sources or acquired what is considered common knowledge from Middle Eastern archives. CNN for example, critiqued Bachmann by flashing a chart showing what they termed “six degrees of separation” between Huma and the Brotherhood. Their coverage was devoid of any sources or detailed information; Keith Ellison’s view was expressed without any being challenged by supposed experts on the subject.

CNN’s Anderson Cooper made a demonstrably false statement:
“As per Abedin’s mother and brother, Bachmann never gives any evidence of their alleged links to the Muslim Brotherhood.”

Bachmann absolutely did. We will begin with Huma’s brother, Hassan Abedin, followed by her mother Saleha Abedin, then Huma herself to conclude that: 1) Either no vetting process has been done on Huma, or 2) perhaps she obtained a waiver for her security clearance. So let’s start.
There are trusted and detailed documents from official government archives of Great Britain, the *OCIS (Oxford Center For Islamic Studies) Annual Report of the Board of Trustees*, which unequivocally show that Hassan Abedin—Huma’s brother—held a fellowship for several years under the supervision of Muslim Brotherhood spiritual head and its international liaison Sheikh Yusuf al-Qaradawi, along with Abdullah Omar Naseef, the founder of “Rabita Trust”, a Specially Designated Global Terrorist Entity by the U.S. Naseef has been the Chairman of the Board and Qaradawi a Board member (see 2004-2005, page 7, 2005-2006, page 7, 2007-2008, page 12. Also see Naseef and Qaradawi listed on page cover as Board of Trustees).

Under “Charity Trustees” “Board of Trustees” we find “Dr. Abdullah Omar Naseef (Chairman)” and “Dr. Yusuf al-Qaradawi”:

---

**ANNUAL REPORT 2004-2005**
**OF THE TRUSTEES**
**OF THE ISLAMIC TRUST**
(oxford Centre for Islamic Studies)

St George’s Mansions
George Street
OXFORD OX1 2AR

PATRON: H.R.H. The Prince of Wales
(Registered with the Charity Commission: No. 293/07)

The Trustees of the Islamic Trust (Oxford Centre for Islamic Studies) present their annual report for the year ended 31st August 2005 under the Charities Act 1993, together with the audited accounts for the year ended 31st March 2005, and confirm that the latter comply with the requirements of the Act, the Declaration of Trust and the Charities SORP 2000. The Charity is governed by its Declaration of Trust dated 10th October 1985 and last amended in 1995.

**Charity Trustees**

**Board of Trustees**

The Board is a self-appointing body consisting of 14 members, two of whom are nominated by the University of Oxford for election to the Board. Retiring Trustees can be re-elected.

Dr Abdullah Omar Naseef (Chairman)  
Pehin Abdul Aziz Umar (Vice-Chairman) *  
HRH Prince Turki Al-Faisal bin Abdulaziz **  
Tan Sri Dato Ahmad Sarji bin Abdulhamid  
Sir Marrack Goulding  
Professor Keith B Griffin  
Mr Esaa Saleh Al-Gurg CBE  
Professor Ali A Mazrui  
Mr Mohamed Abdulaziz Al-Mutawa  
Dr William Mcmillan  
Professor Muhammad Rabay Nadwi  
Dr Yusuf Al-Qaradawi  
Mr Khalid Ahmed Yousef Zainal Alireza***  
Dr Ali Al-Shennan

Secretaries to the Board of Trustees:

Dr Farhan Ahmad Nizami
Dr David George Browning

* Chairman: Academic Sub-Committee  
** Chairman: Strategy and Building Sub-Committee  
*** Chairman: Finance and Investment Sub-Committee

---
We also find “Hassan Abedin” listed under Fellowship:

Dr Hassan Abedin, BA (Clark University, Massachusetts), MA (Birmingham), Ph.D (University of London). King Abdullah bin Abdul Aziz Fellow, Development Officer.

Mr Mark Muehlaeusler BA (Oxford), MA (Leiden). Fellow in Library and Information Services.

Dr Ruba Kana’an, B.Sc (University of Jordan); M.Phil and D.Phil (Oxon). Essa Sadeh Al-Garg Research Fellow in Islamic Art and Architecture.

Dr Thomas Dahnhardt, Ph.D (SOAS, University of London), Laurea in Oriental Languages (Venice University). Atlas Research Fellow.

Dr Patricia Sellick, BA (Oxford), MA (SOAS, University of London); Ph.D (University of Bradford). Research Co-ordinator. (Until October 2004).

Ms Djihan Skinner, BA, M.Phil (Cambridge). Junior Research Fellow.

Mr Muhammad Afifi Al-Akiti, BA (Queen’s University of Belfast), D.Phil student. (Worcester College, Oxford). Junior Research Fellow.

According to the Global Muslim Brotherhood Daily Report, Yusuf Al-Qaradawi was on the OCIS Board of Trustees until 2006; Hassan Abedin is confirmed to have been a member until at least 2010 but we believe his name was removed from the OCIS website in the wake of the news reports about his mother's membership with the Muslim Sisterhood. According to the documents, Hassan Abedin was part of an outreach program under the supervision of the Naseef and Qaradawi, to conduct an outreach program. Abdullah Omar Naseef is confirmed to have been a Trustee with OCIS until now.

What is alarming about Abdullah Omar Naseef is that Naseef chaired other entities that were considered major security concern for the United States. On October 12, 2001, President George W. Bush’s Executive Order designated Defendant Rabita Trust—an entity that Abdullah Omar Naseef founded in July 1988 and is currently its chairman—was a Specially Designated Global Terrorist Entity and the Treasury Department froze its assets.

Part of his global efforts is found in his involvement in a SAAR Network charity. This reality makes Hassan Abedin, and Abdullah Omar Naseef (alongside Yusuf Qaradawi) colleagues with years of working relationships. These finds completely debunk CNN’s “six degrees of separation” as Anderson Cooper claimed.

Neither did Huma Abedin, Hillary’s aid, or any major western media even mention what is common knowledge in the Arab circles regarding Hassan Abedin, his connections or activities. Yet, all this is confirmed by Arab sources as Bachmann indicated in her 16-page letter to Rep. Keith Ellison.

Oxford University, which has long been infiltrated by Islamists who founded the Oxford Centre For Islamic Studies (OCIS) has Huma’s brother as a fellow and partners with a number of Muslim Brotherhood members on the Board, including Al-Qaeda associate, Abdullah Omar Naseef and Sheikh...
Youssef al-Qaradawi; both have been listed as OCIS Trustees. Naseef continues to serve as Board Chairman.

In 2009, Qaradawi’s role at Oxford and the Muslim Brotherhood was championed by the Islamist Sheikh Rached Ghannouchi of Al-Nahda; a Muslim Brotherhood affiliate, now active in Tunisia. OCIS has even presented an award for great scholarly achievement to Muslim Brotherhood member Shaykh Abd Al-Fattah Abu Gudda, whose history goes as far back to the Brotherhood’s godfather Hassan al-Banna.

Even the Sunday Times acknowledged that the cradle of Islamic Jihad—Al-Azhar University—actively attempts to establish links with OCIS, where Huma’s brother serves. Hassan Abedin has been key in furthering the Islamic agenda and has worked with Saudi Prince Alwaleed bin Talal on a program entitled “spreading Islam to the west” (photo shows Talal seated behind desk and Hassan Abedin wearing blue tie).

In the United States District of Colombia, Case #1:02CV01616(JR), it shows that Abdullah Omar Naseef was defendant #97. Naseef ran a charity front for terror:

“1058. Rabita Trust, a subsidiary of the Muslim World League, is connected to the SAAR Network, through two officers, Dr. Abdullah Omar Naseef and Abdullah al-Obaid. The SAAR Network was the focus of March 2002 raids led by United States authorities for the network’s ties to al Qaeda.

1059. On October 12, 2001, President George W. Bush’s Executive Order designated Defendant Rabita Trust as a Specially Designated Global Terrorist Entity and the Treasury Department froze its assets. In addition to being a subsidiary of the Muslim World League, the Rabita Trust is also connected to the SAAR Network through two officers, Defendant Abdullah Omar Naseef and Defendant Abdullah al-Obaid. Defendant Abdullah Omar Naseef founded the defendant Rabita Trust in July 1988 and is currently its chairman.

1060. Abdullah Omar Naseef also served as Secretary-General of the Muslim World League during the time he created Defendant Rabita Trust and has attempted to spread Muslim World League offices around the world. Part of his global efforts are found in his involvement in a SAAR Network charity.”

The conclusion then is that there are no degrees of separation between Hassan Abedin, Naseef and Qaradawi, the working relationships are current and continuing despite the events of 9/11.
SALEHA ABEDIN

Not only do we have evidence that Saleha Abedin—Huma’s mother—is active in the Muslim Brotherhood (Sisterhood branch), or even a member; she is a leader. We will provide irrefutable evidence that shows how Saleha Abedin and her Muslim Brotherhood colleagues interact, connect and advance Brotherhood agenda against Western policies. The links to documents and publications provided shows extensive proof of the networking of Saleha Abedin and the Sisterhood group. We will begin by presenting the circumstantial evidence and then the conclusive.

But first, let us enter the mind of Mrs. Abedin, including her own confession of the link between the IICWC that she chairs with the notorious IICDR, a group that Israel has outlawed as a terrorist entity that funds Hamas.

In the interview transcribed below and from Saleha’s own words, we can see that Saleha Abedin’s organization partners with International Islamic Council for Da’wa and Relief (IICDR). Saleha Abedin (aka S. Abdein) alongside Abdullah Omar Naseef and others are on IICDR’s Presidency Staff Council. It is also chaired by Muslim Brotherhood leader Sheikh Yusuf al-Qaradawi.

We have acquired and translated an interview conducted with Saleha Abedin.

According to Saleha, her Committee follows the International Islamic Council for Da’wa and Relief. Her mission is to call for war against the “planned global occupation” of the West, which—according to her—“seeks to gain from the Muslim communities under the pretext of liberation and creativity.” Individual rights is the reason given for why American “lives are filled with problems.” “Foreign workers” (non-Muslim minorities) in Saudi Arabia are “a threat to the future of young Muslims.” Her aspiration for International Muslim Minority Association is clearly understood from her prayer request:

“I hope that Ramadan does not pass this year, until all Muslim minorities are liberated.”

Her mission at IICWC coincides with the one at her IMMA (International Muslim Minority Affairs)—to call for change but first to identify “the problem…We must first put our hands on the problem and then find the right and fitting solution for Muslim minority societies… globally.” Abedin fights against assimilation and the West’s lack of respect for the ethics of Islamic Sharia.

Dr. Saleha Abdeen—President of the International Islamic Committee for Women and Child. “We seek to adopt a Muslim Charter for women and children rights that does not collide with the Sharia. Foreign workers are a threat to the future of young Muslims. Mothers need to do their role. I hope that Ramadan does not pass this year, until all Muslim minorities are liberated.”

Interview by Mujahid Maleji

Maleji: To start off, we would like to know the objectives of the Committee for Islamic World for Women and Child (IICWC), which you endorse.

Saleha Abedin: The Committee [IICWC]—as is well known—follows the International Islamic Council for Da’wa and Relief, headed under the authority of Al-Azhar’s Sheikh in accordance to the Councils’ [IICDR] Charter whose headquarters is located in Cairo. Also, all Islamic relief and advocacy organizations are members and works through it. The committee [IICWC] which I chair is a committee that focuses on the affairs of Muslim women and children. It was founded after the Beijing Conference and was consecrated by Sheikh Gad-al-Haq Ali Gad-al-Haq, bringing awareness regarding the seriousness of this planned global occupation, which seeks to gain from the Muslim
communities under the pretext of liberation and creativity. So we have sent many releases focusing on the problems that face Muslim women. We are focused on the problems of illiteracy and poor health conditions, and poor efficiency, human development, the Committee takes upon itself since its foundation to highlight and emphasize in various forums on the neglect suffered by Muslim women until they realized that the issue of Muslim women did not take the right approach to adequate study and put it at the center of attention, and while the whole world focuses on the rights, we [in turn] are dealing with the rights-as well as-the duties completely based on an Islamic perspective.”

Maleji: Have you thought about establishing a charter for Muslim women and children that will look after the interest of Muslim societies?

Saleha Abedin: We have actually thought of putting an Islamic charter together regarding women and children which looks after Islamic and Arabic societies. We are still studying the possibility of producing this charter for women and children globally to separate between the Muslim vision which stem in belief in Allah and taking into account the ideological control and between the Western views regarding women and children which they do not care about such matters. We must first put our hands on the problem and then find the right fitting solution for Muslim minority societies specifically globally and the Arab Muslim societies in general. All have problems depending on location. In France and Belgium in general, Muslims face the freedom of what Muslim women can wear as ordained by Allah despaired to the point that Muslim women have difficulties keeping her job or her education in Western schools while the West filled the world with the noise of democracy and human rights.

Maleji: Since you have lived in the West, is there a difference regarding the condition of women in the Arabic and Islamic society and that of the Western societies?

Saleha Abedin: For sure, there is a difference. The nature of the difference between women and Islamic societies and women in Western societies stems from the core difference of moral and religious values which Muslim women depend on. We find that 50% of the women and men in the West are liberal. They liberate themselves from religion so the church doesn’t get the “religion tax”, yet in our Islamic societies, a woman gains her dignity and status from her commitment to her religion, opposite from the western view. Also, in the West, individualism controls their thought in a way that has no equal and on all levels from the intellectual, societal, political and economical level. So they think that the individual is the core focus of the society. This focus on individualism in everything is the reason why their lives are filled with problems. But in the Islamic world, the situation is different since religion is the core in general. The understanding of cooperation on virtue, piety, family care and other understanding makes the different core issue between us and them.

Source: (Dar al-Ma’rifah, 19/8/2007)

In Saleha’s own words, she tells us that she not only chairs the IICWC but she ensures that the IICWC follows the lead of the IICDR, a group that supports Hamas financially. The document courtesy of U.N. archives only serves to corroborate the information she provided in the interview.

The “circumstantial” or “probable cause,” can easily be obtained from the Egyptian Al-Dostor which was confirmed by top experts with Egypt’s security advisor including the Arab Center for Studies, headed by researcher Abdul Rahim Ali. Though more detail needs to be obtained from Egyptian archives, Saleha Abedin, according to these sources is a member and a leader in the Sisterhood branch of the Muslim Brotherhood. Al-Dostor gave a partial sample including the arrest of First Deputy Brotherhood leader Mahmoud Ezzat for his involvement with the Sisterhood members.

Many on the list as we will show are proven official members or wives / daughters of members ranging
from 1) spies 2) Nazi-style propagandists 3) Nazi affiliates from the Brotherhood’s inception 4) Advocacy groups as “fifth column”.

Saleha Abedin, with the other 62 “Sisters” represents Brotherhood interests with a span of influence over several international organizations from the United Nations to women’s advocacy groups worldwide. The Brotherhood’s official website explains the Sisterhood activities.

SALEHA’S IICWC
Saleha is Chairwoman of the International Islamic Committee for Woman and Child (IICWC). IICWC designated Yusuf al-Qaradawi (the spiritual head of the Muslim Brotherhood) as the main author of its charter and policies with Saleha Abedin as chairwoman.

Saleha’s membership is not only in IICWC, (evidence that they deleted after the controversy) but to Abdullah Omar Naseef’s Muslim World League (MWL). We captured the membership page before it was deleted after Huma’s controversy:
MEMBERSHIP
MEMBERSHIP: Saleha Abedin
FULL NAME: Her Excellency Dr. Saleha Abedin
COUNTRY: Egypt
CERTIFICATE: Doctorate
POSITION: …
WORK: International Islamic Committee For Woman And Child In The Muslim World League

It is crucial to tie International Islamic Committee for Woman and Child (IICWC) as part of the MWL since the IICWC is an entity “within” The Muslim World League. What is probably most significant is that the policy makers, movers and shakers as well as the ones behind establishing IICWC’s “Charter of the Family in Islam” and setting its policies are Muslim Brotherhood leaders and members. Although the English deceptively never mentions such membership, the Arabic speaks for itself. The draft of IICWC charter states:

“That is the message of this Charter, which the International Islamic Committee for Woman and Child of the World Islamic Call and relief, completed its draft of which participated in the preparation over the three years the eminent scientists: a. Dr. Ahmed El-Assal, Dr. Ahmed Mahdi Abdul Halim, Dr. Jamal Eddine Attia, Dr. Salah Abdel-Mut’al, Dr. Abdul Rahman Al-Naqib, Dr. Abdul Latif Amer, Dr. Ali Gomaa, Dr. Fathi Lashin, Dr. Mohamed Emara, Dr. Imam Mohammed Kamal al-Din, Dr. Makarem al-Dairi, Dr. Yusuf al-Qaradawi.”

The hyperlinks above are all major, high-level, leading Muslim Brotherhood members, which leaves no doubt that the Muslim Brotherhood is the author and the one setting policies and standards for IICWC, which Saleha Abedin chairs. The rest of the names are proven Salafist or affiliates of the Muslim Brotherhood. For example:

Dr. Ahmad El-Assal recalls how he joined the Muslim Brotherhood:

“It is strange that I and Sheikh Yusuf al-Qaradawi one day were walking in the street after our departure from the institute, we found roving Muslim Brotherhood members in the street chanting’ Allah Akbar, Allah is our objective, and the Apostle, our ideal, and the Koran is our constitution, Jihad is our way, and death in the name of Allah the highest aspiration … then we sat under the instruction of this kind Professor who was a member of the Guidance Bureau of the Muslim Brotherhood, Mr. Al-Bahi Kholi he then adopted us [into the fold]”

Dr. Jamal Eddine Attia as the Brotherhood’s official site declared:

“…he joined the Muslim Brotherhood at an early age when he was staying at Skakina—a neighborhood of Cairo…”

Dr. Makarem Al-Dairi announces the importance of the “Charter of the Family in Islam” at her election for candidate in the Muslim Brotherhood in the Parliament in 2005:

“Dr. Makarem al-Dairi, a Brotherhood candidate for Parliament 2005, stressed the importance of promoting women at the political, religious and societal level and to activate their role in public work and women’s awareness to defend against all the evil plotting against Muslim women. She also praised for her role of the Islamic World for Women and Children in dealing with this attack, through the activation of
the Charter of The Family in Islam by turning it into a work program to serve women and children, she said.”

Dr. Fathi Lachin:

“Five were arrested on charges of belonging the Muslim Brotherhood. They are Chancellor and financial consultant Dr. Fathi Lashin who was also a former adviser at the Ministry of Justice, and d. Jamal Abdul Salam, director of the Relief Committee at the Federation of Physicians and emergency Arab and Muslim Brotherhood candidate in the 2005 elections …”

In that charter, it is Qaradawi who constructs the policy regarding female circumcision. Be warned that IICWC’s official policies are disturbing; it supports marital rape, child marriage, female genital circumcision and polygamy. One example, the Position of the International Islamic Committee for Women and Child (IICWC) states:

“The criminalization of female genital circumcision completely clashes with Islamic law, which did not provide for this prohibition, as confirmed by Dr. Yusuf al-Qaradawi, who was one of the drafters of the Charter…”

“There was juristic evidence and consensus on the inevitability of medical male circumcision only, while scholars differed in regards to the female genital mutilation as undesirable but they differed on whether it is a duty or honor or even desirable. Dr. Sheikh Abdul Fattah confirmed that he personally rejected these amendments fully, especially the item on enforcing punishment on whomever that decides to circumcise his or her daughter be it the father, the mother or the doctor. It is not permissible to forbid an issue or an order that is permitted by Sharia.”

The IICWC, headed by one of its leading figures, Saleha Abedin, worked in establishing the “Charter regarding Family in Islam” with the subtitle, “International Islamic Committee for Woman and Child of the General Relief Committee.” The announcement reads as if there is a war between the West and the world of Islam; it states:

“In the face of Western conquest of the fortress of the Muslim family comes the critical importance of the Charter of the family in Islam; its importance does not stop at being only a fence that protects the Muslim family in Muslim societies, but extends its importance to make it “a global Islamic announcement”, stemming from global Islam as a guidance to the world, to be a lifeline for the family—all families—across continents and civilizations.”

The Center for Security Policy also reported that the IICWC is affiliated with the International Islamic Council for Da’wah and Relief (IICDR). [Link Here] (See page #5) The IICDR—according to the detailed evidence presented—was outlawed in Israel for funding Hamas through an organization known as the Union for Good, which was chaired by Muslim Brotherhood leader Sheikh Yusuf al-Qaradawi.

Speaking of the IICDR, thanks to documents we acquired from United Nations archives, Saleha’s name appears on a list with Dr. Abdullah Nasif as Dr. S. Abdien. Arabic names sometimes don’t contain vowels where they would normally be in an English translation, which helps to explain variations in spelling. For example, “Nasif” can be translated as “Naseef” or “Abedin” can be translated as “Abdien” as is the case in the screen shot below.
Since Dr. S. Abdien is listed as being with IICW&CH, it is a very high probability that this name refers to Huma’s mother.

Saleha Abedin is one of nine elite members of a group (IICDR) that boasts of its nine “Excellencies” who sit on the “Presidency Staff Council.” Alongside Abedin sits Dr. Abdullah Nasif.

International Islamic Council for Daw'a and Relife (IICDR) is a coordinate foundation, which includes more than one hundred Islamic NGO's and GO's all over the world, headquarters is in Cairo - Egypt. Presidency Staff Council consists of H.E Sheikh AL Azhar - President, H.E. Marshal AbdelRahman Sowar AL Dahap - Vice President, H.E AL Sheikh Yousf AL Hegy - Vice President, H.E Dr. Abdulah Salih AL Obeid, Vice President, H.E.Prof. Kamel AL Sharif, Secretary General, and Excellencies Presidents of Specialized Committees in the council among of them Dr. Abdullah Nasif (IICI) Mr. Abdulmalik Al Hamar, (IICHR) Dr. S. Abdien (IICW&CH) Hamid A. Al-Rifaie, President of (IICD).

SALEHA AND MWL

On to evidence that shows how Saleha Abedin and her colleagues interact, connect and advance Brotherhood agendas against Western policies working with MWL and IICWC without a single degree of separation. But first some history lessons on the Sisterhood. The truth is that the Muslim Brotherhood is not the Taliban; female activism in the Muslim Brotherhood takes a leading role, which is why an entire division called the Muslim Sisterhood was established in 1933; headed by Lebiba Ahmad.

Contrary to what is said by western media, this elite unit is by no means a tangentially complicit “mule service,” made up of couriers who smuggle secret documents in order to more easily avoid the eyes of surveillance; neither are they made up of innocent women who don benign-looking “hijabs” as portrayed by Der Spiegel.

The Muslim Brotherhood means what it says and fulfills its mission for the Sisterhood. That mission is “to gain and acquire a unified global perception in every nation in the world.” It is an “advocacy work at all levels.”
Take Sisterhood leader Amina Jaber Aljaber who is a professor within the Department of Chemistry and Earth Science at the University of Qatar. She has a fascination for Brotherhood leader Sheikh Yusuf Al-Qaradawi and was eager to celebrate his birthday at the Ritz Hotel and share the podium with him. Jaber even became a signatory in a campaign condemning any of his critics. Despite Qaradawi’s anti-Semitic diatribes and support for suicide bombing, he is the most popular religious icon in the Sunni Muslim world. He has become the international liaison of the Muslim Brotherhood. In a 2009 speech that aired on Al Jazeera, Qaradawi said the following:

“Throughout history, Allah has imposed upon the [Jews] people who would punish them for their corruption. The last punishment was carried out by Hitler. By means of all the things he did to them – even though they exaggerated this issue – he managed to put them in their place. This was divine punishment for them. Allah willing, the next time will be at the hands of the believers.”

Organizers for the Sisterhood are not unfamiliar with working inside the United States, which hosted Sisterhood male supervisor Abdullah Alnoshan the director of the Virginia office of the Muslim World League (MWL), a group with significant ties to al-Qaeda. Khaled Abou El Fadl is a UCLA Law professor whose resume includes an appointment by President George W. Bush to a position on the U.S. Commission for International Religious Freedom. Daniel Pipes made the case as early as 2004 that El Fadl is a “stealth Islamist”. Despite his Brotherhood membership, El-Fadl’s books are being promoted by the Council on American Islamic Relations (CAIR), which carries such titles as The Great Theft, Wrestling Islam from the Extremists. CAIR are also financial supporters for Keith Ellison.

Alnoshan was finally deported on immigration charges but MWL’s financial arm, the defunct terror financing organization Rabita Trust, was initiated by former General Secretary of the MWL, notorious al-Qaeda godfather and an Abedin trusted partner and associate Abdullah Omar Naseef, who played an integral role in both al-Qaeda and the Muslim Brotherhood. He also had close ties with the most intriguing member of the Sisterhood (Saleha Abedin), the mother of Huma Abedin, Secretary of State Hillary Clinton’s Deputy Chief of Staff.

Though the MWL’s financial arm in the United States—Rabita Trust—was shut down for its terror ties, it still exists under the name Rabita al-Alam al-Islami; Saleha Abedin is a member of this organization, which makes her part of the MWL. The Anti Defamation League says the following about the MWL:

“This reality closely connects Saleha Abedin and Abdullah Omar Naseef as having been colleagues for decades without any degree of separation. Both are intimately involved with the MWL.

According to September 11 Terror Litigation filed in the District of Colombia, Case # 1:02CV01616(JR):

“274. On October 12, 2001, President George W. Bush’s Executive Order designated Defendant Rabita Trust as a Specially Designated Global Terrorist Entity and the Treasury Department froze its assets. Defendant Abdullah Omar Naseef founded the Defendant Rabita Trust in July 1988 and is currently its chairman. 275. Abdullah Omar Naseef (or “Naseef”) also served as Secretary-General of the Muslim World League during the time he created Defendant Rabita Trust and has attempted to spread Muslim World League offices around the world. Part of his global efforts are found in his involvement in a SAAR
IMMA
Several circulated articles in the West credit Saleha and her now-deceased husband, Syed Z. Abedin with co-founding the Institute of Muslim Minority Affairs (IMMA), established in both Great Britain and Jeddah, Saudi Arabia. Jorgen S. Nielsen (see p. 366) attributes the finding of IMMA to Abedin and Naseef as a supporter:

“Trained in social science and being of Indian origin, Professor Abedin (Syed Z.) was the founder of the Institute of Muslim Minority Affairs in Jeddah, Saudi Arabia, an institution that had the quiet but active support of the then General Secretary of the Muslim World League, Dr. Umar Abdallah Nasif.”

The Arabic sources provide a different account. According to the Arabic periodical written by Dar Ibn Hazen, Naseef was actually the founder and the Abedins were entrusted with leading IMMA:

“In the early 1970's, Sayed Z. Abedin came to Saudi Arabia for a one year visit as a visiting professor. Having been welcomed by King Abdulaziz University, which provided him the means to create a scholarly program regarding Muslim Minorities. Dr. Abdullah Omar Naseef, the Dean of King Abdulaziz University then envisioned the creation of an academic entity called the Institute for Muslim Minority Affairs (IMMA), under the management of Ahmed Ba-Hafith-Allah, who was the General Trustee for the World Assembly of Muslim Youth (WAMY). Professor Sayed Z. Abedin was encouraged to supervise the Muslim Affairs and served as the IMMA's chief editor.”

The connections between Ba-Hafith-Allah and WAMY are quite extensive as well. This places Naseef at the center of both the IMMA and WAMY, another group with multiple connections to Muslim Brotherhood entities. According to Discover the Networks, Osama bin Laden's nephew—Abdullah bin Laden—incorporated WAMY three blocks away from where four of the 9/11 hijackers lived prior to the attacks. The more notorious bin Laden was allegedly funding militants in the Philippines through WAMY. In a Romanian newspaper report, WAMY was identified as a group that heavily funded a Muslim Brotherhood organization that operated under alternate names. WAMY has also welcomed speakers such as Tariq Ramadan, Rashid Gannouchi, and Hamas leader Khaled Mash'al. WAMY’s funds have reportedly wound up in the hands of Hamas on several occasions. In an article published in the Washington Post, a Senior Customs Special Agent signed an affidavit that claims a WAMY publication said the following:

“The Jews are humanity's enemies: they foment immorality in this world.”

Moreover, CAIR has allegedly received funding from WAMY to aid with the construction of its headquarters in Washington, D.C. There are also credible reports that the Muslim Students Association of the U.S. and Canada, as well as the International Institute of Islamic Thought (IIIT) are closely linked.

In 1978, the Abedins had frequent dialogue with Maulana Muhammad Yousuf of Jamaat-e-Islami in India. Yusuf came after Abu Al-Ala Maududi and was key in the Tabligh, which is the Indian subcontinent’s equivalent of the Muslim Brotherhood with Salafist ideology. It has extensive ties to Wahhabist and Al-Qaeda and other terrorist groups.

More recently, the Jamaat has expressed support for violent jihad against American forces and other
non-Muslims in Iraq, Afghanistan, Palestine and elsewhere. The organization also salutes the jihad of groups like Hamas, Hezbollah, and Hezbul Mujahideen in Kashmir.

**DAR AL-HEKMA**
Saleha Abedin is Vice Dean at Dar El-Hekma College in Saudi Arabia, Saleha was also one of the institution’s *founders*, along with *Yaseen Abdullah Kadi*—a designated terrorist by the U.S.—and members of the bin Laden family.

In 2010, *Huma arranged* for the Secretary of State to visit Dar El-Hekma where Clinton spoke alongside both Saleha and another Sisterhood member named *Suheir Qureshi*, who like so many of her colleagues, holds a Ph.D.

Courtesy of Internet Archives, we were able to learn that Huma Abedin served as an Assistant Editor with IMMA from at least *December 2, 2002—September 24, 2008*. Her name fails to appear on the IMMA website some time before *February 14, 2009*. Presumably, Abedin left IMMA to accept her current position as Deputy Chief of Staff to Hillary Clinton.

The IMMA Editorial Board *currently lists* both Huma’s brother and her mother as editors. A *screenshot* from the *Anti-Mullah blog* proves that Huma worked and partnered together with her family at IMMA:

![IMMA Editorial Board](image)

**JMMA Editorial Board**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EDITOR</th>
<th>ASSOCIATE EDITORS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Saleha S. Mahmood</td>
<td>Hassan Abedin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>M. Hakan Yavuz</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ASSISTANT EDITORS</th>
<th>BOOK REVIEW EDITOR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Huma Abedin</td>
<td>M. Hakan Yavuz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huda A. Khadi</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zulekha Pirani</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OFFICE MANAGER</th>
<th>EDITORIAL ASSISTANT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hamid Ismail</td>
<td>Sanaa Pirani</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This would be within the same time period that her brother Hassan Abedin began serving as a fellow with OCIS. This necessarily means that Huma was serving on a board (IMMA) with people who had *confirmed ties* to al-Qaeda, which leads to another relevant discovery.

From at least *December 2, 2002—December 3, 2003*, none other than Abdullah Omar Naseef served on the Advisory Editorial Board. This screenshot confirms that:
The relevance of this discovery is further increased by what his revealed by the Anti-Defamation League:

On October 12, 2001, the U.S. Treasury Department designated the Rabita Trust, a charity in Pakistan "for its close ties to senior al Qaida leadership and for providing logistical and financial support to Al Qaida." The Rabita Trust was founded in 1988 by Dr. Abdullah Omar Naseef, the former secretary general of the Muslim World League (MWL) in the 1980s.

Obviously, this means that more than two years prior to Huma Abedin serving on a board with Naseef—and one month after the 9/11 attacks—Naseef was formally connected to Al-Qaeda by the U.S. Government.

With all this, why would Huma serve on a board with Saleha and coordinate a meeting between her mother and the Secretary of State while Saleha still appears on the main Al-Azhar university website as a member of the High Council for Islamic Matters? As such, she participated in the 12th session regarding the Islamic Conference for The Islamic Uprising as representing the Islamic Committee for Woman and Child (the same group that supports marital rape).

THE ABEDIN—HELMNEY—QURESHI CONNECTION
Here we will establish conclusively that Saleha Abedin, Camellia Helmy and Suheir Qureshi are members of the same team collectively on three organizations; The Muslim Brotherhood, Islamic Committee for Woman and Child (IICWC) with Qureshi, Abedin and Helmy and on the Institute of
Muslim Minority Affairs (IMMA) with Qureshi, Saleha and Huma Abedin.

Helmy, as president of the Brotherhood, supervised IICWC and Saleha Abedin as Chairwoman, usually work publicly and often appear together. Helmy is the wife of the Muslim Brotherhood leader Siraj Al-Din Allboudi. She ran for the Muslim Brotherhood general elections representing one of 35 Sisterhood members. Her husband Siraj Al-Din Allboudi was accused and imprisoned for his primary role in establishing the International Women’s Organization (Sisterhood) in 2002, which is confirmed by the Muslim Brotherhood announcement on April 6th, 2010 as “member representing the Muslim Sisterhood branch.”

Helmy, aside from being a member of the Sisterhood, is also a leader and policy maker for the IICWC, which Saleha Abedin chairs. Helmy is found complaining that the “Women’s Committee of the United Nations had declared war on Islam.” She confronted the United Nations and has accused it of supporting “Gender Equality.”

Saleha Abedin and Camellia Helmy are key figures with the IICWC, about which Masr (Egypt) newspaper reported:

“The Islamic Committee for Woman and Child condemns passing any law that imprisons a husband under the pretext of raping his wife or to have sex with her without her consent as prescribed under ‘marital rape’. The Committee, at the end of the workshop, which was held in Cairo regarding the Charter of the Family in Islam, rejected any law that gives women the freedom to control her body, or the recognition of illegitimate children. The committee is steadfast in stressing male superiority over women including the necessity of a woman to obey her husband. It refuses to grant gays any rights or abolish differences between men and women”. (Feb 22, 2009)

“Our role is to apply pressure to the United Nations” said Camellia in an interview with Dr. Muhammad Saidi on his program entitled, The Dialogue of Civilizations, which was nothing more than getting the voices of Sisterhood out. Here is that interview, with Camellia explaining how it all works and the following excerpt has been translated:

“…we pressure to stop issues that do not agree with our religious perspective; our main goal is to stop agreements [between nations] and to announce the Islamic position regarding such agreements.”

She describes how the Sisterhood acts as a “fifth column”:

“The most dangerous of these is an international bill of rights for women (CEDAW) as the most dangerous issue that was ever established… Within the United Nations [Sisters] exists a fifth column…these organizations apply daily pressure on governments, which acts like a pincer. From one side, the United Nations will apply pressure and from the other side these organizations apply pressure.”

Both Abedin and Helmy participated together [1] in Egypt in 2000; Abedin’s intent was to obstruct any legislation that contradicts Sharia:

“What Dr. Abdeen from the IICWC mentioned during the preparatory conference for the Special Session of the Assembly of the United Nations in Cairo was valid; that the danger of Islamic silence about these international instruments is that they become binding upon the States, and enter into force upon signature by States.”
Suheir Qureshi, another confirmed member of the Muslim Brotherhood (Sisterhood) who spoke alongside Saleha with Secretary of State Hillary—with the help of Huma—has educational accomplishments typical of a Sisterhood leader. Such accomplishments debunk western claims that these Islamists have no regard for women’s education. Quershi has a PHD from Cambridge University in Higher Education, Masters degree from the United States University—International, Administrative Development Graduate from Wharton Business College in Pennsylvania, PA, in Nov 1999. She worked in Pennsylvania Hospital in 1984 as a Training Member and worked for the British Society on Eastern Studies.

Qureshi is also a member of the Institute of Muslim Minority Affairs (IMMA) alongside Huma Abedin and Saleha Abedin, who is its co-founder. She is also the trusted private scheduler for Saleha Abedin at Dar Al-Hikma University in Jedda and the Financial Officer for the Islamic Committee for Woman and Child (IICWC).

Abedin sits on the Women’s Advisory Committee for the Islamic Development Bank alongside princess Dr. Modi, daughter of Mansour bin Abdulaziz Al Saud.

The Saudi newspaper Al-Watan mentions Abedin’s relationship with Huma was key to bringing secretary of State Hillary Clinton to Dar Al-Hikma:

“Why did the Secretary of State choose to visit Dar Al-Hikma College during her visit to the Kingdom last week and not Effat College or King Abdulaziz University? The reason is classic and simple; helping U.S. Secretary is Huma Abedin, who knows the Dean of Admissions and Registration at Dar Al-Hikma. Her mother, Dr. Abedin lived in the Kingdom for more than 3 decades, and therefore it was easy to arrange a visit and select Dar Al-Hikma.”

Unlike much of the sentiment at the Huffington Post, which speculated that Bachmann’s address regarding Hillary’s aid Huma Abedin was the cause of the mockery Hillary endured in Egypt, Hillary was mocked in Saudi Arabia long before that. At Dar-Al-Hikma in Jedda, Arabic sources made fun of how all the girls at Dar Al-Hikma in Jedda mocked Hillary to her face. Of course only the Arab media covered this. Nabd and several Arab news agencies reported articles on how Hillary was denounced by many who came to her face point blank and told her off. One of the reports describes the scene:

“Unlike the reports that came out of the New York Times, a group of girls who were educated with high moral values, came out donned with the Palestinian scarves …and after all the orchestrated questions were finished and all the phony photo ops were done…these girls with the Palestinian scarves walked up to the American Secretary of State and spoke to her seriously: ‘We do not respect you. You occupied Iraq and Afghanistan. You struck Pakistan. You support Israel. It would be a shame to get your autograph. We object to your policy.’ Then these girls continued one after the other, with the same sentiment objecting to America’s terrorism.”

Was Huma unaware of all this as she accompanied Hillary Clinton to the Dar El-Hekma women’s college in Saudi Arabia? Huma’s mother is the co-founder and a Vice Dean at the college as well as an active missionary on issues regarding Muslim women. The “Women’s Division” can be found at the Muslim Brotherhood’s official website an excerpt of its goal translated from Arabic states:

“The Women’s Organization’s goal in accordance with the Muslim Brotherhood rules, is to gain and acquire a unified global perception in every nation in the world regarding the position of women, and the necessity of advocacy work at all levels in accordance with the message of the Brotherhood as written in Women in Muslim Society, and the rearing of women throughout the different stages of life.”
True to this cause, when Suheir Qureshi spoke alongside Huma’s mother and Hillary Clinton, all three women spoke on issues of Women in Muslim Society. An Arabic news report of what happened during Hillary’s visit stated: “Suheir Qureshi spoke of how elated she was of Hillary’s historic visit.” Translating further:

“Saleha Abedin spoke after Suheir Qureshi and beamed in the presence of Secretary Clinton. Saleha’s speech preceded the former First Lady’s. Then Hillary stood. She donned a broad smile as she approached the podium… Clinton started with a strong word and she spent a long time complimenting Dr. Saleha Abedin regarding her daughter. Hillary explained that Huma holds an important and sensitive position in her office. She ended her speech by addressing the subject of Saleha Abedin’s daughter (Huma), saying that a person must be happy if mentioned in a positive light but there is no happiness that equals the compliment given to children in front of a parent.”

MURUNA

It is sacrilege in Islam for Huma’s mother, a member of the Brotherhood, to accept the reality that her daughter is married to a Jew, yet neither did her mother or her brother ever denounce the marriage, especially when such a marriage was considered null and void by some of the highest authorities on Islamic Sharia ruling. The only exception that the Muslim Brotherhood allows such marriages is by applying an injunction the Brotherhood titles as Muruna. Muruna was prescribed by Hassan Abedin’s associate, Sheikh Yusuf al-Qaradawi, who closely works with the Abedins. Qaradawi initiated the policy in December 1989 from the United States during the annual conference of the Association of Muslim Youth Forums with Mohammed Hamadi, a leading rebel in Libya who participated heavily in the ‘Arab Spring.’ Hamadi is also the head of the Muslim Brotherhood in Mauritania.

This doctrine and long-term plan should be of great interest to security matters. In what the forum termed “The Priorities of The Islamic Movement in The Next Three Decades,” (from 1990 to 2020) they planned to attain what they described as “the goals of the Islamic Movement,” which confirms Badei’s utopian hope for the “establishment of an Islamic state, governed according to Qur’anic law—first in Egypt and eventually in the entire world.” Accordingly, Muruna calls for “organized, popular work to return to Islam in order to lead society, all of society… to bring back the caliphate… to announce Jihad either by arms, by pen, or by heart…”

Muruna is literally accomplished by permitting behavior normally so eschewed by Sharia that westerners logically see a more moderate version of Islam, when such prohibitions are suddenly permitted. Qaradawi wrote the series entitled, Preparing the Atmosphere under the title The Workings of Al-Si’a(Inclusion) and Muruna(Flexibility):

“Sharia’s ability to be flexible and inclusive is that it cares for their needs while excusing the burdens Muslims have to endure. For the sake of their destiny, it was made lawful for them to have exceptions from the law that are appropriate for them since these exceptions match their general goals to make it easy for humanity by removing the chains of [Sharia] rules they were made to adhere to in previous Sharia rulings.”

By reversing Islamic law, Muruna concludes an amazing doctrine that permits all prohibitions:

“When evil and harm conflict as necessities demand, we must then choose the least of the two evils or harms. This is what the experts in jurisprudence decided… if interests and harms/evils conflict, or benefits conflict with evils, what is then to be decided is to review each benefit and each harm/evil and its
consequences, so the minor evils are forgiven for the sake of the greater long-term benefit. The evil is also accepted even if that evil is extreme and normally considered deplorable.”

With Huma Abedin, a practicing Muslim who married Jewish Congressman Anthony Weiner, the Muslim Brotherhood can legitimately use Muruna to sanction such marriages for an interest. Qaradawi’s volume concludes that what was once forbidden by Shariah—from major crimes like Muslims killing Muslims, to issues of interest banking that include alliances with infidels—was made “temporarily” lawful by Muruna:

“Is it permissible, then, to have alliances with powers that are non-Muslim? Can Muslims work in banks that practice usury?…For the young Muslims they should not leave their jobs in banks and insurance agencies despite their work being evil, since their experience in these agencies would gain experience for what would benefit the Muslim commerce… whoever examines the issues in light of the Doctrine of Balance would find that entry into these arenas is not merely a project, but a preference and a duty.”

Qaradawi unequivocally states without apology that “necessities justify prohibitions” (Ibid, page 67) and “coercion” alongside “times of weakness and disability” for the Muslims are reasons to break Sharia laws.

Even the individual right to life can be eliminated under Muruna. Under the section titled “the necessities of the group,” Qaradawi explains that:

“…as Sharia considers the individual needs, it permitted many prohibitions and considers the necessities of the community…”

Qaradawi is not short of examples and even commands the “killing of Muslims whom the unbelievers use as shields” since “leaving these unbelievers is a danger to the Muslims, so it is permissible to kill these unbelievers even if they killed Muslims with them in the process.” (Ibid, Pp 71)

By “sanctioning prohibitions”, the Brotherhood allowed to break Sharia laws for the sake of necessities. This type of deception is not the signature of only the Muslim Brotherhood.

Muruna is not exclusive to the Muslim Brotherhood but the Gülenists as well. When the “Clinton family” played a key role in promoting the extremely powerful Turkish Imam and notorious Islamist conspirator Fethullah Gülen as he fled Turkey for the U.S. after attempting to overthrow Turkey’s secular government, a charge over which he was indicted in 2000. In 2008, former president Bill Clinton heaped praise on Gülen, giving him a clean slate. Gülen is given refuge and even airs his messages on Turkish television about how his followers could best seize power from the Turkish government:

“You must move in the arteries of the system without anyone noticing your existence until you reach all the power centers… until the conditions are ripe… Until that time, any step taken would be too early—like breaking an egg without waiting the full forty days for it to hatch. It would be like killing the chick inside.”

Gülen expressed this sentiment in another sermon as well:

“The philosophy of our service is that we open a house somewhere and, with the patience of a spider, we lay our web to wait for people to get caught in the web; and we teach those who do.”
Why is this relevant to the Abedin family? Well, because serving with Huma’s brother, as an Oxford Centre Trustee is Turkey’s president—Abdullah Gül—who considers himself a follower of Fethullah Gülen (Gülenist) according to Wikileaks. It was also Hillary Clinton who signed a document less than one month prior to her trip to Saudi Arabia with Huma that lifted the ban on Tariq Ramadan, allowing him entry into the United States. Ramadan is the grandson of the founder of the Muslim Brotherhood, Hasan al-Banna and has ties to Islamic terrorist groups.

Aside from Saleha Abedin’s connections to Camellia Helmy, Saleha also appears on the list of Sisterhood members with Dr. Fatima Omar Nassif, who is the sister of Abdullah Omar Naseef, a close associate of the Abedin family. Fatima worked with Huma’s father while he sat on the board with Huma’s brother. Dr. Fatima Naseef, like Abedin was also born in India. Her father—Sharaf Din Nurul—was the first to establish a printing press in India to publish Islamic books. She is an Islamic advocate and a professor at Um Al-Qura School of Sharia. IMMA’s Book Series section even offers only 3 books one of which is Fatima’s Umar Naseef:

Fatima is a member of the International Union for Muslim Scholars, attended the re-election of Qaradawi, and was nominated and selected as member in Istanbul, Turkey in July 1, 2010:
The defender of Huma’s marriage to Anthony Weiner after the 2011 ‘sexting’ scandal was Imam Omar Shareef Abu Namous, who is also the Imam at the Mosque of the Islamic Cultural Center in New York. Notwithstanding Namous’ support for Huma’s marriage to a Jewish man after the scandal, the Center has been an issue of controversy in the U.S. and is involved heavily in the collection of foreign funding. The O.I.C (Organization of Islamic Conference), which represents 57 Muslim countries, has been on the forefront to collect the funding of the center.

Few are aware that the call to build the Cultural Center started as far back as 1983 at the OIC’s October 10th Conference in New York. The call was answered by the OIC in its 16th Conference, held in Morocco in January of 1986 demanding all represented Muslim nations to “contribute morally and financially to complete this project and to establish the Islamic Cultural Center in New York.” The center later became a symbol of victory for Feisal Abdul Rauf, who attempted to gain much funding for the ongoing project. A photo copy shows Abu Namous thanking Kuwait for donating the lion’s share. Namous is no moderate. In an attempt to moderate Islam, Dr. Laleh Bakhtiar (a woman) offers another meaning to the translation of the Arabic word “Idrib,” traditionally translated as “beat”. Namous rebukes Bakhtiar for her mistranslation of the Quran:

“There is nothing to stop a woman from translating the Holy Koran. The translator should have good command of the Arabic language in order to convey it and translate it into other languages.”
Al-Azhar University followed suit when Mohammad Abdul Munem Al-Bari, professor of Quranic interpretation, condemned the new translation as “a conspiracy against Islam” while Dr. Ahmad Al-Sayeh, professor of theology, agreed that a “new interpretation is necessary since the old interpretation of the Quran is littered with Israelite insertions” and blames the Jews for all the violent interpretations.

OTHER M.B LEADERS WITH SALEHA ABEDIN

Just in case our critics say that the list of the 63 Sisterhood members is the result of blogosphere gossip, we prepared additional, verifiable profiles from the Sisterhood list:

ZAINAB ABDULAZIZ

While Zainab Abdulaziz appears dressed up in western style modernity, speaking several languages and teaching about French civilization, she is an avid conspiracy theorist who uses Nazi style propaganda that includes accusations that Vatican II is akin to the Protocols of the Elder’s of Zion. To Zainab, the Vatican is conspiring with the Zionists to “Christianize the Muslim world” with the goal of “completely uprooting Islam” and “making the Jews innocent from the blood of Jesus.” Al-Marsad, an Islamic media outlet, uses her propaganda worldwide; that propaganda includes horrendous and bloody depictions while calling on Muslims to resist Christianization.

Statements about tolerance abound in English by members of the Sisterhood but the Arabic gives a different perspective of the Nazi style propaganda. Consider the case of Sisterhood member Zainab Abdulaziz on several interviews [1] [2] [3].

We translated one:

Zainab Abdul Aziz—TV Interview on 90 Minutes (translated from Arabic)

Host: Welcome to 90 minutes, the subject that has generated controversy recently is a document published by the Vatican’s Pope, in which he defends the right of the church to spread its Catholic missionary message. Such subjects are always best discussed with our guest Zainab Abdul Aziz. Welcome, May Allah bless you. In the beginning during the break you told me, that there is a document. I would like to know, such documents, do they really exist?

Zainab: So you think they are conjured or concocted? Of course they exist.

Host: What is its contents?

Zainab: Put simply, the contents reflect a desire to uproot Islam and to Christianize the world in accordance to Rome’s Catholicism. That’s what they want. You want to know more?

Host: That’s alright, we will speak in detail, but you are quite familiar with this subject and I do not understand it as well as you. Is this an official document that came out of the Vatican or simply a report from the media?

Zainab: No no no no, its more than just a document, so if we take the background of the story from the beginning, this started in Vatican II, remember 2 years ago we did a brief interview in which I told you that this Council of Vatican II, which ended in 1965, makes an important shift in the history of the Vatican and as an institution as a whole, until this date all the councils whether public or private they were imposed on all churches to handle problems that commence from society or scientific advancement. This Council [Vatican II] was the first assault in history, for in
it they decided to vindicate the Jews from the blood of Christ despite 130 verses that condemn the Jews for killing Jesus. In one stroke they vindicated the Jews. They uprooted the left in the 80’s and Islam in the 90’s so by the beginning of the third millennium the whole world will be Christianized.

Host: This is not logical.

Zainab: Sir look, you need to understand the subject so people can understand what the story is.

Host: It’s not logical; there is over one billion Muslims. How do you convert them?

Zainab: The decisions are all this, to vindicate the Jews from the blood of Jesus, uprooting the left, the vindication has been accomplished and you are living it, and you say the belligerence of the Zionists, and their occupation of a land that doesn’t belong to them; this is a separate issue but we live its results. We live all along as Muslims and as the Palestinian people are being killed and uprooted in front of our eyes and no one is doing nothing and the story continues. This is a side issue.

Host: But there are peaceful negotiations going on between the two.

Zainab: Sure but every time they happen, we give up more concessions. Let’s not talk about this. Please let’s keep this issue on the side for now.

Host: Do you wish me to remain silent and have you speak alone?

Zainab: Oh, please, no. So we said, uprooting the left has been fulfilled. This happened. People say this is impossible. I show two things that happened which we live today. The third decision is to uproot Islam to start the third prong and the whole world is Christianized. What comes after that is to unite the churches under Rome. This is important so the non-Catholics can be convinced, they will learn from their own ideology; of course they know better than us that they have ideological disagreements.

Host: So you are saying that the Catholics want the Protestants to join their ideology?

Zainab: Yes, this is what is brewing in secret, and the document that came out of the Vatican on the 14th of December is a response to the Russian Church, since the Russian Church rejects their evangelization, or for them to leave their ideology and spreading the Catholic dogma worldwide.

Host: But what is your position from all this, you are saying “uprooting Islam”?

Zainab: Yes, but if someone sees all the steps that have taken place to Christianize the world, what do you think is intended for Islam? The Vatican is officially asking publicly and in all belligerence is all intended to uproot Islam.

AIDA AL-MUTLAQ
Aida Al-Mutlaq is another one of the infamous 63 Sisterhood leaders. She provides the details of another Sisterhood conspiracy:

“We assure that the danger to our national security is the American-Zionist project which aims to destroy our history, civilization and future…”

Al-Mutlaq so fears a Zionist conspiracy that she called for reducing the King of Jordan to a figurehead.
My own cousin, prominent attorney and Islamist activist Jawad Younis is seen on the Muslim Brotherhood’s own website and is a leading figurehead collaborating with Ahmad Al-Kafwin, member of the Executive Office of the Muslim Brotherhood to overhaul the current Jordanian legal system and is advanced under the guise of “Reformation”.

MANAL ABU HASSAN
The stated passion of Sisterhood member Manal Abu Hassan is “woman’s activism” and she ran as a Muslim Brotherhood candidate to help further it. She is also a Professor at October Sixth Journalism University. Her daughter is Sondus Chalabi, also a Muslim Brotherhood activist who, in 2009, expressed her hope that “Obama (will) open the door for (our) hope to advance political Islam.” Her wish came true when she was allowed entry into the U.S. to promote her agenda on public media and went by the name Sondos Asem. She was fawned over by New York Times columnist Nicholas Kristof. Here she is appearing on CNN.

Sondus and her colleague Abdul Mawgoud Dardery were confronted by one doubting Thomas, Will Cain from the Blaze, who charged:

“You say things to us in English… but many charge that when you go back to Egypt and you speak in Arabic, you say just the opposite.”

Abdul Mawgoud Dardery who was implicated in a child pornography investigation and is a 9/11 truther simply rebuked Cain’s charge as a “conspiracy” theory. Sondus chimed in with statements that were peppered with words of “democracy” and “respect to all peace treaties.” She was carefully weaned at a young age by both of her parents who are prominent leaders within the Muslim Brotherhood; her mother—Manal Abul Hassan—is one of the Brotherhood's most powerful women.

Nicholas Kristof, a New York Times writer, visited Sondos in her home only to be wooed by her before writing his article. He cautioned readers against alarm over the Ikhwan (Brotherhood) saying, “let's not overdo the hand-wringing—or lose perspective.” Kristof also quoted Asem as saying, “It's a big misconception that the Muslim Brotherhood marginalizes women. Fifty percent of the Brotherhood are women.”

Indeed. But what is not known about Sondus’ Arabic is that she was recently featured in a debate alongside Ibrahim Hudaibi—grandson of the guide, former Muslim Brotherhood Ma'moun Hudaibi—arguing against former deputy Anwar Esmin Sadat and activist Dalia Ziada, Director of the Office of the Organization of American Islamic Congress in Cairo. During the debate, Sondus emphasized that, “Islam cannot in any way shape or form be separated from politics,” because in her view “Islam is a religion and a State.” Americans like Cain, who was right, often fail in exposing the translated rhetoric; Sondus is a diehard Islamist.

Abdel Monem Aboul Foutuh, who split from the Brotherhood prior to the Egyptian elections, is another 9/11 Truther who insisted that such a belief is “logical.” More hopeful was Israel’s most-watched news program Ulpan Shishi, which went out of its way to capture statements (see 4:27) from Aboul Fotouh’s English. In the interview, Aboul Fotouh went as far as stating that: “we say to Palestinians ‘you should recognize the State of Israel’.” He even expressed strongly that the Camp David Accord “should be respected.”

Futuh’s Arabic reveals otherwise. We were the first to translate Futuh engaging in doublespeak like
Sondos did at CNN. Futuh told an Israeli reporter on camera that he believed in honoring the Camp David peace accord. In Arabic, Futuh even had the audacity to debunk his own words to that reporter in full view, blaming it on a conspiracy by one of the other candidates.

MAKAREM DAIRI
Makarim Dairi, the wife of Ibrahim Sharaf, a man who has been imprisoned in Egypt four times before his final release in 2000. Dairi is also a teacher at the Al-Azhar school, which is Egypt’s largest religious institution.

None other than Abdullah Azzam, al-Qaeda’s founder, was a graduate and Muslim Brotherhood preacher. The grandfather of al-Qaeda’s number two man—Ayman al-Zawahiri—held one of the most coveted positions at Al-Azhar; the institution also objected to Osama bin Laden’s sea burial.

JIHAN HALFAWI
Jihan Halafawi is an officially recognized member and a leader in the Sisterhood. She is also the wife of former Brotherhood leader Ibrahim Za’farani. Like Makarim Dairi, Jihan’s husband was imprisoned along with several other members of the Muslim Brotherhood in attempts to bring the banned organization back into prominence.

NAHLA MOHAMED ALLAHITA
Nahla Mohamed Allahita Yousef is the wife of imprisoned Muslim Brotherhood leader Mohamed Amr Darraj.

AFAF FADL AL-SISI
Afaf Fadl Al-Sisi is the wife of Essam el-Erian. Al-Sisi’s husband was imprisoned for his membership in the Brotherhood in 1982 and is recognized as a leading voice for the group in his capacity as the head of the Brotherhood’s Political Bureau.

NAYLA ALRASHDAN
The concoction that mixes passionate activism, high level education, and positions of political influence can be dangerously powerful and it is very potent among Sisterhood leaders. For example, Nayla Najib Alrashdan who is also a member in Saleha Abedin’s IICWC, is also a lawyer who leads an advocacy group for Muslim women known as “Women’s Association for Jerusalem,” which calls for the funding of an effort to “liberate eastern Jerusalem from Jewish expansionism.” She also became influential enough to become a member of the House of Representatives in Jordan in 2002. It is important to understand how tightly knit the familial ties to the Brotherhood are. The offspring of Brotherhood and Sisterhood members are indoctrinated into the zealous lifestyle of Jihad activism, by their parents.

KAMEL SHARIF
Kamel Sharif is on the list of Sisterhood supervisors. His daughter, Maha Kamel Al-Sharif is one of the group’s leaders, her father Kamel Sharif is the former Jordanian Waqf Minister who aided Hitler’s favorite Arab, Haj Amin al-Husseini in leading Muslim Brotherhood youth on “Jihad expeditions” that consisted of fighting in southern Israel. The Muslim Brotherhood was established in Palestine in 1935 by Abd al-Rahman al-Banna, brother of Muslim Brotherhood founder Hassan al-Banna. The Palestine branch of the Brotherhood was led by none other than Haj Amin al-Husseini.
SUHEIR GELBANA
Espionage is a practice not foreign to Sisterhood leaders. Suheir Gelbana is a perfect example. She was the vice chairman of the Sinai expedition and sent spies into the Sinai to give reports on Israeli military movements. As president of the Association of Young Muslim Women, she collaborated with the Muslim Brotherhood. Amazingly, Gelbana met with John Crocker of the U.S. Embassy to receive millions of dollars in aid for Northern Sinai.

BADRIA AL-MUTAWA AND AMAL NASR
Sisterhood leaders’ main modus-operandi is to be involved in child rearing like Doctor Badria Al Mutawa and Amal Nasir who is another specialist for child rearing amongst women. The article shows that Nasir writes regarding “reasons for girls going astray” and it can be viewed with a photo of the type of dress code required for “Islamic Youth Conference” during the summer program she endorses. Nasir explains that girls should be careful, that the reason young Muslim women to go astray during summer-vacation is “walking in the market place, speaking to friends and watching T.V” and that in the summer-vacation program, girls can learn “how to put up with marriage pressures in the future…” She holds events titled “How to rear your children on supporting the Gaza Intifada.”

SUAD AL-JARALLAH
Suad Al-Jarallah is President of the Association of Women for Reform. She is also involved in the International Conference for Women regarding what involves Muslim Women. She is a supporter of the Flotilla going to Gaza:

“I am proud that my brother and his wife went on the journey [to Gaza], this is a right for the Islamic Umma [nation]. If I had my chance to go I would not hesitate”.

NAJLA ALI MAHMOUD
Supervised by her husband Mohammed Aidalmrsi (Mohamed Mursi), the new President of Egypt, He recently appeared on National television and explained why “Egypt needs to ban western dress” and how “no one with a full mental faculty can believe in the Trinity.” He even condemned Egyptian monuments as “idols.” Its only difference from the Taliban is it has a western propaganda machine.

RANA SA’ADEH
Besides being a leader in the Sisterhood, Rana Sa’adeh is a Member of the Women’s Division of Jamaat-e-Islami, which is the Indian subcontinent’s equivalent of the Muslim Brotherhood. It has extensive ties to Al-Qaeda and other terrorist groups.

The Jamaat has expressed support for violent jihad against American forces and other non-Muslims in Iraq, Afghanistan, Palestine and elsewhere. The organization also salutes the jihad of groups like Hamas, Hezbollah, and Hizbul Mujahideen in Kashmir.

Sa’adeh also runs Women’s Caucus for Palestinian Woman and Child which includes the Lebanese Women’s Council, Woman’s Uprising Committee, Women’s division of Hezbollah, the Lebanese Communist Party, the National Union of Lebanese Women’s Baath Party, the Committee on Women’s Work from Hamas, the Women’s Bureau of Jemaah Islamiah, the Women’s Movement, Women’s Movement of the Palestinian Democratic Party, Office of Palestinian Women National Liberation Movement—Fatah, and the Central Council of the Association of Teachers for Primary Education.

The Women’s Caucus for Palestinian Woman and Child has the following mission statement:
To give full support of the struggle of our people in Palestine and to their courageous uprising, that it remain alive and steadfast.

Support the Palestinian right to liberate the entire land and the establishment of the Palestinian state with its capital Jerusalem.

To urge the international community to shoulder full responsibility for protecting the Palestinians and to pressure the U.S. Government and the Zionist entity to stop aggression against the Palestinian people.

To call on all Arab governments to take a decisive stand against the arrogance of Zionism; cut off all ties with the Zionist entity and the closure of its embassies; condemn the U.S. hostile position to our nation and the war waged against the Iraqi people.

Urge the Lebanese government to prevent the U.S. ambassador from interfering in the affairs of Lebanon.

Call on all Lebanese parties and NGOs to boycott American goods as a form of resistance.

To call on all Palestinian factions to promote unity and escalate the intifada and the resistance until the defeat of the occupation is completed.

Ensure the return of refugees to their homes.

To call on the Palestinian Authority to stop any contacts with the Zionist entity and the announcement of the end of the settlement process in adherence to the demand of the Palestinian people in it’s resistance and it’s Intifada until victory and liberation and return.

We hail the Iraqi resistance, calling on the forces and all nationalities that live there to pressure their governments to withdraw there troops from Iraqi territories and guarantee Iraq’s unity and freedom of people to choose their representatives.

We hail the steadfastness of Syria, Lebanon and Iran against in the U.S. and Zionist threats.

ASMA SA’AD EDDIN LACHIN/LASHIN
Sisterhood leader Asma Sa’ad Eddin Lachin is the daughter of Sa’ad Eddin Lachin, the leader of an official opposition group. The elder Lachin was a close associate of Muslim Brotherhood founder, Hassan al-Banna. He is also related to Fathi Lachin/Lashin, a policy maker for Saleha Abedin’s IICWI.

ILHAM SAAD ABDALBESER
Ilham Saad Abdalbeser is a Sisterhood leader and spouse of released prisoner Mohi Hamid, member of the “Guidance Bureau of the Muslim Brotherhood.” In this video, Mohi can be seen communicating his vision with a photo of al-Banna on the wall behind him, next to a large plaque of the Brotherhood logo. Hamid also appeared on Ikwhan T.V. (Brotherhood) and discussed the short and long term vision of the Brotherhood, calling it “the Total Restitution,” to “make Islam” not as a personal belief only but “the total way of life everywhere,” including governance with Sharia as a state and a “worldwide Caliphate” with Muslims to become the “teachers for every nation on earth.” It is this effort that will “bring about total happiness and utopia globally.”

ZUHAIR LZIMAILI
Another Sisterhood leader, Zuhair Lzimali sits on the board of Al-Sabeel Newspaper in Jordan. One of
its goals is to “expose the intrusion and assimilation attempts by the Zionist enemy.”

CONCLUSION ON THE ABEDINS

With all this evidence, let’s examine whether Senator John McCain’s argument makes any sense:

“Never mind that one of those individuals, Huma’s father, passed away two decades ago.”

Using McCain’s logic, Siham al-Qaradawi—the daughter of Yusuf Qaradawi—who was awarded the Fulbright Academic Program in 2010-2011, cannot be discriminated against just because Yusuf Qaradawi is a member of the Muslim Brotherhood, but only if his death was two decades ago. Her living mother and brothers being affiliated with the Muslim Brotherhood does not count.

Siham al-Qaradawi—to use McCain’s logic—then qualifies for Huma’s role, especially since no one can prove that Siham Qaradawi is a card-carrying member, regardless that she is directly connected with the Brotherhood.

Bachmann’s argument stands; with this evidence presented, the burden of proof is on McCain to show that Huma was vetted and does not present a threat to National Security. Bachmann’s 16-page letter was not only regarding the Abedins, but “Brotherhood infiltration” in general.

After examining this we believe that we provide ample proof for Huma’s involvement, ties and relationship with members of the Muslim Brotherhood. It becomes difficult to argue that Huma was no “mover and shaker” in introducing Hillary to her mother Saleha Abedin at Dar al-Hikma. It becomes then obvious that Huma Abedin likely knew:

1—That the organization to which her family belonged was founded with the help of Al-Qaeda Godfather Abdullah Omar Naseef.

2—Her family ties existed well after IMMA’s inception, after 9/11, and well into 2006—2008 without any degrees of separation as claimed by CNN. The Abedins could not deny knowing about the widely known SAAR Network charity that Naseef managed.

3—Her mother was a member of the Muslim Brotherhood. Huma arranged for Hillary Clinton to speak alongside two Muslim Brotherhood members; Huma’s mother, Saleha Abedin and Suheir Qureshi who is listed by Al-Dustur and Al-Jazeera as a member. The complete list, including the 12 Saudi women members and the names of all 63 members are listed here. Evidence of these being involved with the Muslim Brotherhood is overwhelming.

4—That her brother is a fellow at the Oxford Centre for Islamic Studies (OCIS) with Abdullah Omar Naseef as the Chairman of the Board at OCIS with Muslim Brotherhood Sheikh Yusuf Al Qaradawi serving on the OCIS Board.

5—That leaving her job as editor at IMMA was necessary for her new job at the State Dept.

6—That her mother leads a group that endorses marital rape, under age marriage, and female genital mutilation.
The critics of Michele Bachmann were being uninformed.

SLIPPED UNDER THE RADAR

Bachmann is correct with respect to screening and vetting government employees. Few Americans know that it was a C.I.A/F.B.I agent who created the first Al-Qaeda training manual from classified military sources as he moved up the ranks undetected during his U.S. military service. There are several cases-in-point:

ALI MOHAMMAD
When the Al-Qaeda spy, Egyptian born Ali Mohamed, was finally discovered, he became the only spy in history that was convicted and found guilty but was secreted and never sentenced. Lt. Col. Robert Anderson’s detailed reports aimed at getting Army intelligence to investigate Ali Mohamed—and have him court-martialed—were also ignored.

AL-BALAWI
Jordanian born “reformed” Jihadist, Humam Al-Balawi, (code name Abi Dajjana al-Kharasani) deceived some anxious C.I.A agents concerning the whereabouts of Ayman Al-Zawahiri. As he passed their guard, he detonated a suicide belt killing the agents. We were the first to translate the sinister plan of this Taliban spy which was missed by the C.I.A but was advertized on his Arabic website for the whole Arabic world to read:

“When I drive my car at a traffic police station …my surroundings change by a push of a flash button. I will find myself martyred as I drive a booby trapped Laurie with a bomb heading towards the pagan guards…”

Had the C.I.A monitored and trusted what he stated in the Arabic, these agents would still be alive today. The failure by the C.I.A—with all of its sophisticated surveillance—and the failure of our military to properly screen and do background checks of Middle easterners, what gives us confidence that the State Department updated its virus protection program? Even more concerning than Ali and Al-Balawi, Hillary’s ‘aid’ and Deputy Chief of Staff Huma Abedin could not have been properly screened.

FEISAL ABDUL RAUF
To further prove the lack of screening by our state department, even the team that was set up according to State Department policy, in order to “engage the Moderate Muslim world” was infiltrated without even screening its contributor—the Muslim Brotherhood sympathizer and Egyptian by heritage, Ground Zero Mosque Imam Sheikh Feisal Abdul Rauf. He only moderated his tone in English. We, not the State Department, were the first to translate his doublespeak from popular Arab media where Rauf wrote an article in Jordan’s Al-Ghad, openly supporting Hamas and Hezbollah as “the trend towards Islamic law and justice” because according to Rauf, “secularism had failed to deliver what the Muslim wants, which is life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness.” Then, regarding the U.S., he discussed how Muslims must lobby the west to make it “Sharia Compliant.”

Rauf recently toured the world for his “Da’wa (call to Islam)” mission. While he recently made statements of regret (in English) for stating that “the United States was an accessory to what happened on 9/11” (3:00 mark), in Arabic he supports Hamas and Hezbollah. In an article entitled, “Sharing The Essence Of Our Beliefs” by Feisal Abdul Rauf in Al-Ghad Newspaper in Jordan, he stated:
“If someone in the Middle East cries out “where is the law”, he knows that the law exists. The only law that the Muslim needs exists already in the Koran and the Hadith. People asked me right after the 9/11 attack as to why do movements with political agendas carry [Islamic] religious names? Why call it ‘Muslim Brotherhood’ or ‘Hezbollah (Party of Allah)’ or ‘Hamas’ or ‘Islamic Resistance Movement’? I answer them this—that the trend towards Islamic law and justice begins in religious movements, because secularism had failed to deliver what the Muslim wants, which is life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness… The only law that the Muslim needs exists already in the Koran and the Hadith.”

Omid Safi, professor of Islamic Studies at the University of North Carolina and expert on Sufism, also reviewed the Arabic articles and concluded that ‘they do not show any support for terrorism.’ Safi added that:

“…the accusation of 'Muslim double-speak' is one of the common accusations used by Islamaphobes like Shoebat … I would simply suggest dismissing what comes out of his mouth as much as I would dismiss anything David Duke would have to say about the essential nature of Judaism.’ It's deeply unfortunate that Fox News presents Walid Shoebat, who hates Islam, as a credible authority on Islam, but it is downright reckless and irresponsible to provide a national platform for Shoebat's claim that Rauf endorsed terrorism in Arabic -- an accusation that aside from being false, fuels distrust and perpetuates anti-Muslim platitudes. In doing so, Fox has again shown that informing its viewers is not the networks' priority, and that it is more than willing to play dangerously close to bigotry.” (Media Matters, September 03, 2010 4:35 pm ET by Jocelyn Fong)

I was accused of being racist, bigoted and fueling hatred. Yet, I am still awaiting the “correct” translation; it was never offered or produced for one reason. The one I provided is accurate.

I included many links so westerners can examine the Arabic for themselves. Despite that Google translate is not the best to translate languages, the reader can cut and paste the articles himself and see; Rauf clearly shows moral support for Hamas and Hezbollah. Yet, even professional interpreters and professors play the game of doublespeak. No one can refute that I provided a reasonable translation that would be accepted by expert translators of Arabic; that is if these are not practitioners of doublespeak.

In 2008, Rauf met with my first cousin, the Mufti of Ramallah Ibrahim Khalil Awadallah Shoebat to discuss a plan to Islamize the Americas with the “Da’wa of Islam”. Two of the Mufti’s brothers were known terrorists with one being Raed Khalil Awadallah Shoebat, who was killed by the Israelis while attempting to bomb innocent civilians in Ben Yehuda Street in Jerusalem. His ‘martyrdom’ is listed in the official list of Martyrs of Bethlehem. His other brother Mahmud was released after a deal was brokered by the United Nations. The Mufti is no moderate Muslim and regularly marches in ‘martyrs’ precessions.

It’s clear that Rauf discloses his views to Muslims right after 9/11 that Hamas, Hezbollah and Islamic Jihad were born as a result of the “Muslim hunger for Islamic law and justice”. According to the Imam, secularism is not the answer to a Muslim and that “all the laws Muslims need are in the Quran and the Hadith”. It is Islam and not the secular American Constitution that “offers life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness.”

Westerners think that the goals of “extremists” like terrorist Anwar Al-Awlaki and the intellectual Rauf are different. While Al-Awlaki has goals, he determined to gain them through explosive acts. Rauf is
no different; his goals are the same. From the Arabic they are made clear.

Rauf’s method for accomplishing this goal is stated in an article on HadieIslam, a prominent Muslim news source: “So we advise that when there is a problem in the relationship between state and religious institutions in the form of the question you just asked, that people need to use peaceful means to advise the governors and government institutions and use peaceful means that are available to send their message out to the masses. And we also suggest to the governors and political institutions to consult [Muslim] religious institutions and [Muslim] personalities in the field so as to assure their decision making to reflect the spirit of Shariah”. (Translated verbatim, From Rauf’s interview on HadielIslam.com)

When it comes to religious dialogue, Rauf To the Cairo University on Rights For All an article titled “The Most Prominent Imam in New York “I Do Not Believe In Religious Dialogue” Rauf expounded:

“…this phrase is inaccurate. Religious dialogue as customarily understood is a set of events with discussions in large hotels that result in nothing. Religions do not dialogue and dialogue is not present in the attitudes of the followers regardless of being Muslim or Christian. The image of Muslims in the West is complex which needs to be remedied.”

As far as separation between religion and state, Rauf admonished Muslims on their openness “[In the West] they have separation of church and state, this of course does not exist in any Muslim country. About 99% refuse to separate religion from state and many call for establishing an Islamic Caliphate.”

When Rauf was asked: “What does it mean to separate religion from state in Islam?” Rauf answers: “For that, we collectively believe that the state that was erected by the prophet in Medina was the ideal model for an Islamic state. The challenge today in the Islamic world is how we accomplish this in our current era. The challenge I was referring to is this; how do we call for the principles and standards that the prophet (peace be upon him) used to build the Islamic state in Medina [Arabia]? An Islamic state can be established in more than just in a single form or mold; it can be established through a kingdom or a democracy. The important issue is to establish the general fundamentals of [Islamic] Shariah that are required to govern. It is known that there are sets of standards that are accepted by [Muslim] scholars to organize the relationships between government and the governed.”

Rauf has premeditated plans filled with method on how to accomplish a utopian dream: “So we advise that when there is a problem in the relationship between state and religious institutions in the form of the question you just asked, that people need to use peaceful means to advise the governors and government institutions and use peaceful means that are available to send their message out to the masses. And we also suggest to the governors and political institutions to consult [Muslim] religious institutions and [Muslim] personalities in the field so as to assure their decision making to reflect the spirit of Shariah.”

Rauf responds to a question: “but when the rulers are ruling under traditional laws contrary to Islamic laws, what then should the Islamic institutions do?” Rauf never wavers in his answers to the strict usage of examples from Islam’s history: “A time after the prophet (peace be upon him) arose certain new conditions that required the governors to institute new laws so long as they do not conflict with the Quran and the Sunna that were Shariah compliant as such followed in tribal laws. So in our modern era, governments that want to ensure the new laws as to not contradict Shariah rules—so they create institutions to ensure Islamic law and remove any that contradict with Shariah.”
On another question in which Rauf was given a dilemma: “No doubt that there are disastrous results if the Islamic world kept going under the principles that are used with religious issues and state, but what do we do on a personal level while in the midst of this low class system that is established in our Muslim states?” Rauf is clever and responds without wavering: “First and foremost, we need to understand what Shariah requires from us. Second, we need to be a part of a larger group that is capable of giving advice [to the government] as is done by lobbies in the West. Third, We become an institutional group to provide benevolent needs in the society.”

In an interview with Hani Alwaziri which was intended to discuss Rauf’s plans for what he calls “American Style Islam” and the Cordova Initiative goals for the U.S. described by Rauf as “To establish an American style Islam in the United States” in which he explains his aspiration of spreading Islam in America by using flexibility and molding Islam to become palatable to the American culture while preserving the integrity of Islamic ideology:

“If we look how Islam was spread from Hijaz (Arabia) to Morocco then Turkey, we note that Islam was shaped by the culture and society, hence showing a Muslim version of the architecture and culture and the arts, but with preservation of the framework of [ideological] belief and worship. We need to provide a Global Islam in accordance with the nature of each society.”

Rauf’s secularism is a façade only. When Rauf is asked point blank on Hadielislam.com by A.D Al-Farmawi, “but what do we do on a personal level while in the midst of this low class system that is established in our Muslim states?” Abdul Rauf responds: “First and foremost, we need to understand what Shariah requires from us. Second, we need to be a part of a larger group that is capable to give advice [to the government] as is done by lobbies in the West. Thirdly: We become an institutional group to provide benevolent needs in the society.” His methodology is given as “So we advise that when there is a problem in the relationship between state and religious institutions in the form of the question you just asked, that people need to use peaceful means to advise the governors and government institutions and use peaceful means that are available to send their message out to the masses.” And “we also suggest to the governors and political institutions to consult [Muslim] religious institutions and [Muslim] personalities in the field so as to assure their decision making to reflect the spirit of Islamic Shariah Law.”


Rauf further revealed his antagonistic sentiments in the 2006 Copenhagen gathering he organized for the Muslim Leaders of Tomorrow. To enhance his moderate cloak, Rauf invited such liberal Muslims as Irshad Manji and Mona Eltahawy. But he also hosted radicals like Yasir Qadhi — a favorite speaker at conferences of the Muslim Brotherhood’s Islamic Society of North America (ISNA), among others.

NIDAL MALIK HASSAN AND LOUAY SAFI
The reason I included these two names together is that when Nidal Malik Hassan was able to breach all security measures and despite all the clues that he harbored Islamist sentiments, he managed to murder 13 Americans. The other (Louay Safi) who came to supposedly fix the problem of extremism slipped without any vetting. Safi was brought in by U.S. Defense Department to Fort Hood for Muslim sensitivity training after the massacre. He has been lecturing on Islam to our troops at Fort Hood who are deployed to Afghanistan.

Safi is a top official of the Islamic Society of North America (ISNA), and served as research director at the International Institute of Islamic Thought (IIIT).
Louay Safi’s definition of an Islamic state is the concept presented by Ibn Khaldun he calls “the right approach to the definition of an Islamic state.” It ended with the following definition: “the Islamic State is the nation’s power structures that guide political action and defined in accordance with the principles of Islamic political system.” (Louay Safi, Religion and Politics pp.121)

Safi is a Syrian-born author who advocates Muslim American rights through his directorship of ISNA's Leadership Development Center. He advocates direct talks between Washington and Iran's leaders. He has spoken out against various law enforcement raids on Islamic centers. In a 2003 publication, “Peace and the Limits of War,” Safi wrote, “The war against the apostates [non-believers of Islam] is carried out not to force them to accept Islam, but to enforce the Islamic law and maintain order.” (Pp. 29) He also wrote, “It is up to the Muslim leadership to assess the situation and weigh the circumstances as well as the capacity of the Muslim community before deciding the appropriate type of jihad. At one stage, Muslims may find that jihad, through persuasion or peaceful resistance is the best and most effective method to achieve just peace.” [Implicitly, this concedes there is a time for violent jihad, too.]

At ISNA's annual convention in Washington in July, one speaker, Imam Warith Deen Umar, criticized Obama for having two Jewish people—Rahm Emanuel and David Axelrod—in the White House. “Why does this small number of people have control of the world?” he said, according to an IPT transcript. He said the Holocaust was punishment for Jews “because they were serially disobedient to Allah.” [Steven] Emerson's group [the Investigative Project on Terrorism] collected literature at the convention approved for distribution by ISNA. It said the pamphlets and books featured “numerous attempts to portray U.S. prosecution of terrorists and terror supporters as anti-Muslim bigotry; dramatic revisionist history that denied attacks by Arab nations and Palestinian terrorists against Israel; anti-Semitic tracts and hyperbolic rants about a genocide and holocaust of Palestinians.” Asked if the FBI should sever ties with ISNA, Emerson said, “ISNA is an unindicted co-conspirator. It's a Muslim Brotherhood group. I think in terms of legitimacy there should be certain expectations of what the group says publicly. If it continues to espouse jihad and anti-Semitism, I think it nullifies it right to have the FBI recognize it.”

I looked into Safi throughout the Arabic websites; he does aspire for change in the Muslim societies. The crux of this change is to introduce现代化 of thought by Muslims worldwide, not to adapt western style secularism but to use it in order to create an Islamic state.

Translation from the Arabic of Luay Safi’s views proves that Safi supports the establishment of global Sharia worldwide:

“The application of Shariah need to build a public consensus and develop awareness and commitment to Islam before moving to the imposition of Sharia law. This vision is based - at the net - on two ideas: First: The relationship between persons is to gain of a goal, .... 2 - The political leadership representing the general purposes and common interests for the general public and is working to achieve them. …the emergence of the nation into existence, in the sense that the establishment of an Islamic state requires the emergence of a society committed to the principle and standard Islamists, as it requires the actual stability of the nation's founding of the state carrying the aspirations of the Muslim community. Accordingly, if the Islamic state conditional on the commitment of the nation and selected prior to the Islamic regime approach to life, talking about the conditionality of the application of Sharia law in this State the clause itself is useless and meaningless, but may suggest the support of the argument raised by some Muslim thinkers, namely, the gradual introduction of Sharia in Muslim countries in modern times, compared to the Covenant Prophet.”
Mr. Safi said that:

“...the invitation is to establish an Islamic state in this era should proceed from the nation's call to exercise their right to political management of their affairs and issues, which will be based upon later application of the provisions of legitimacy, as part of the belief of the nation, not by letting the nation's political choice.”

Louay Safi’s definition of an Islamic state is the concept presented by Ibn Khaldun he calls “the right approach to the definition of an Islamic state.” It ended with the following definition: "the Islamic State - is - the nation's power structures that guide political action and defined in accordance with the principles of Islamic political system.” (Louay Safi, Religion and Politics pp.121)

Bachmann’s critics regarding the Huma controversy provide no evidence that a vetting process was done. They express that “niceness” “beauty” “charm” “interaction” “work relations” and “education” as proof enough. For example, Rabbi Saperstein stated:

“I have worked with Ms. Abedin, Imam Magid (ISNA President) and ISNA for many years”.

Aside from the fact that Magid leads an anti-Semitic, Muslim Brotherhood front group, once Saperstein’s own standard for Bachmann, shouldn’t he ask Imam Magid to condemn ISNA’s extremists? How could he dismiss Safi’s conclusion:

“The war against the apostates [Saperstein] is carried out not to force them to accept Islam, but to enforce the Islamic law and maintain order.” (Louay Safi, Religion and Politics pp.121)

Unless of course; the Rabbi knows none of this. While Magid’s views may seem clean, Safi’s conspiracies are not. Yet, both are members of ISNA. Membership of an organization is evidence of agreement with its core principles. Bachmann is right regarding Islamist infiltration; Safi infiltrated our government and our military. He was chosen by ISNA and approved by our government officials to lecture our troops at Ft. Hood after Nidal Malik Hassan committed the massacre—a massacre that could easily have been prevented.

RASHAD HUSSAIN
The government appointed Barack Obama’s Islamic envoy Rashad Hussain to the OIC whose photo and transcript of a damning interview [1]. was never released in English by anyone else before I discovered it. So far, no one on earth has presented any evidence of faulty translation, which I have been doing for years, and my haters are many. The editor of Egypt’s al-Ahram Yahya Ghanem who on May 20th, 2010 asked government appointed Rashad Hussain in direct fashion if he is a mover and shaker for Islamist causes:

Ghanem:  As an American Muslim, how do you feel about a possible military strike against Iran, and does the United States object that Tehran gains nuclear ability?

Hussein: America would not mind if Iran gained nuclear ability so long it is adopted peacefully. The Obama administration’s policy is aimed at ensuring Tehran's right to obtain peaceful nuclear technology so long they have no access to nuclear weapons.

Ghanem: Part of your work covers Iran since it is part of the Islamic world. Have you made things happen on this level?”
Ghanem notes “Hussain refuses to talk”.

Then Ghanem insists: “Do you not think that a move on your part will have a positive impact on resolving the crisis?”

Hussein responds: “I think so, but we will do so when the right opportunity comes.”

In what capacity does Rashad Hussain work to be an advisor for President Obama regarding Iran’s nuclear affairs? What gives Rashad Hussein any right to intervene regarding nuclear affairs or to state that he promises the Egyptians to intervene “when the right time comes”? What “right opportunity” is Rashad Hussein speaking about?

Additionally, Rashad Hussain first denied, then was forced to admit, that he made comments to the Muslim Student Association in 2004 supporting the convicted terrorist fundraiser Sami al-Arian, a case that can never be denied. It was the Muslim Brotherhood that founded the Muslim Students Association, despite the protestations of Suhail Khan, the son of Mahboob Khan, the man who co-founded the MSA. At CPAC in 2011, Suhail showed disrespect for his father with a bald-faced lie, when he said “there is no Muslim Brotherhood in the United States” (2:45 mark):

TAHA JABER ALAWANI
How about Taha Jaber al-Alawani, who runs the GSISS (Graduate School of Islamic and Social Sciences)? GSISS is a United States Department of Defense program for training Muslim military chaplains. It was the GSISS that produced the United States Marine Corps’ first Muslim chaplain. Alawani even writes Nazi-style, anti-Semitic studies depicting Jews as the “Golden Calf” while condemning the United States:

“...the Zionist leaders made this calf a model for today’s world so they can be able to control it. Today’s world with its secularism and its phony democracy and alongside its free and controlled trade and national legitimacy and all these other subjects that bellows…”

Here is the entire transcript. Much of Alwani’s works like his “Muslim Minority Affairs” publication still need to be translated. It is littered with warfare and Jihad aspirations through using what he termed “The jurisprudence of Muslim Minority Affairs”. It goes in line with IMMA’s Institute of Muslim Minority Affairs of the Abedins.

Like Saleha Abedin, Alawani is not only a member of the Muslim World League (MWL) but one of its founders and also a suspect of being an Al-Qaeda financier. Alawani is also the former President of the International Institute for Islamic Thought (IIIT), whose Virginia offices were raided by the FBI in March of 2002, in connection with the funding of Palestinian Islamic Jihad (PIJ) through Sami Al-Arian. Alawani slipped through the cracks of terrorism, and will also slip through the cracks of racism because… well, his diatribes are only against Jews. Incidentally, the aforementioned Louay Safi is intimately familiar with the IIIT as well; he was once one of its important leaders.

FAMILY TIES

“On the command of Bin Laden we march to Manhattan … Bush, tomorrow your appointed time will come, remember…Bush I am a terrorist … we will wipe out your night … we have divorced this world thrice … we will strike, a strike that will make you forget the days of Manhattan … with weapons that will blow you up … tomorrow Bush is your appointed time”. [listen here]
Threatening a president of the United States is a serious matter according to our laws, which must take high priority. The story of Ali-M Aldawsari, the Muslim terrorist who was just convicted for acquiring weapons of mass destruction and wanted to fulfill this al-Qaeda commandment to murder former President George W. Bush should be taken much more seriously than to think of him as a lone-terrorist Unabomber. Regardless of what we think of our politicians being liberal or conservative; it is a more serious matter to aspire to kill them then to question Huma Abedin whom McCain says that questioning her background is “dangerous”.

Americans have difficulty comprehending that Islamist style terrorism gains familial moral support. Muslim fanatic terrorists are not like the Unabomber Ted Kaczynski whose brother David Kaczynski finally turned him in. Whether before the conviction of Khalid Aldawsari or after the guilty verdict was passed, nothing changed as far as the supporting views of Aldawsari; so few were the comments by Saudis in USA accepted the justice was done.[1] One none Saudi got attacked by the rest for stating “justice was done” and was accused of assimilation. The comments by almost everyone openly supported Aldawsari and prayed for his soon release. To these, loyalty comes before justice and lying in unison is a ‘modus operandi’.

To prove my point, Imam Samer al-Tabaa of the Islamic Center of South Plains acted surprised as he stated to The Lubbock Avalanche Journal his first lie “no one in the Saudi Community seemed to know Aldawsari” feeding into the circulating myth that Islamist terrorists are lone wolves and isolated Unabombers. “Dawsari is an alien to Islam … he is an enemy to humanity” al-Taba said.

I researched Aldawsari blog and he had friends: “my friends Rayif and A. Al-Ghamdi” he wrote in his journal. The irresponsible journalist from the Lubbock Avalanche Journal, Irie Price, failed to even remind Tabaa if Sheikh Mohamed El-Moctar El-Shinqiti who ran the Islamic Center of South Plains before him recruited Muslims to join Hamas. Will Tabaa say that El-Shinqiti was a UnaJihadist “alien to Islam” and an “enemy to humanity”?

Family, religious and cultural ties are crucial to understand. Most of the Sisterhood members are tied to family affiliates in the Muslim Brotherhood. The Abedins, for example, work with IMMA Vice Chairman, Abu Bakr Bakader. Bakader is also Vice-Chairman of Islamic Committee for Woman and Child (IICWC). Bakader is seen with Saleh Al-Ghamdi, a relative of three 9/11 hijackers, to include Saleh Al-Ghamdi, Ahmed al-Ghamdi, and Hamza Saleh Al-Ghamdi.

Just the name “Ghamdi” alone should be scrutinized. The clan “Ghamdi” once responded to the question that circulates the Middle East “why does the Ghamdi clan produce so many terrorists?” “Is the Ghamdi clan the spring of terrorism?” people asked. The Clan Ghamdi on its official website Ghamid.com, proudly responded with a long martyrs list entitled, “Ghamdis the Mujahideen” priding themselves for having given two of their young men (#3 Ahmed Ghamdi and #4 Hamza Saleh Ghamdi) who destroyed the South Tower in Manhattan on 9/11 when some 3000 American lives were murdered. It is crucial never to forget 9/11 and learn the lessons. Americans are slipping away forgetting the tragedy.

The Ghamidi terrorists are listed as “martyrs” and “heroes” with comments like “definitely, sons to be proud of”. The Ghamdi martyrs list is too long to include here, but perhaps we can include a few from the al-Qaeda martyrs list of the Muslim fallen in Afghanistan by the hands of the “American Crusaders”:
There are several “Aldawsari” troublemakers. Mohammad al-Wada’ani Aldawsari openly calls for the removal of the Saudi kingdom and Khalid Aldawsari does not mind using his enemies help stating (see page 10) that he was admitted into the United States via what he termed “the traitor of the two holy places”. This would be the King of Saudi Arabia himself, which sends his students to the United States, Aldawsari’s favorite target.

Another Aldawsari, the Saudi poet and well-known preacher Hafiz bin ‘Ajab Aldawsari who on March 14, 2012, devoted a sermon to Filiz Gelowicz, an imprisoned German jihad supporter and wife of Fritz Gelowicz, a German convert from the “Sauerland Cell,” which allegedly plotted attacks in Germany on behalf of the Islamic Jihad Union in 2007. Hafiz Aldawsari urged Muslims to come to her aid – with donations and weapons, stating that freeing Muslim prisoners held by the "infidels" is a supreme religious duty.

Like the Ghamidi clan’s main website, Aldawsari’s clan official website as well openly supports terrorism. The support for Mohammad al-Wada’ani Aldawsari is in the open while firing machine guns. You can even watch the Aldawsari clan’s love of fireworks, clansmen style, using AK47s day [here] or night [here] or even communicating with each other on lonely nights, right from their courtyards [here] as if it were Morse code. Songs and poetry are dedicated for Khalid Aldawsari.

While many accuse the valid demand for inquiry regarding the Muslim Brotherhood connections of Huma Abedin of “racism” and “bigotry”, they know nothing about the Muslim Brotherhood’s connections, including prominent Abedin family members with the Nazis; Hassan Al-Banna, the grandfather of Tariq Ramadan (whom Huma’s boss lifted his ban from the U.S.) collaborated with my grandfather’s associate Haj Amin Al-Husseini, who was also Hitler’s Arab henchmen. Al-Husseini also became the Muslim Brotherhood leader after the war. Neither did they repent for they still take pride in their collaboration with the Nazis. Abdul Hakim Abedin was born in 1914 in Egypt and was one of the first regular ranks of the Muslim Brotherhood who married Imam Hassan Al-Banna’s sister. Al-Banna was the founder of the Muslim Brotherhood.

Mr. Abedin was also the secretary-general of the Muslim Brotherhood, was arrested along with his colleagues when the group was disbanded in 1948, and was released from prison when the government canceled the delegation of martial law in 1950. He was also handpicked by the notorious Nazi collaborator Haj Amin al-Husseini, the Mufti of Palestine to be an adviser to the Arab Higher Committee in Beirut under the chairmanship of al-Husseini and was chosen by the Muslim World League (MWL) in Mecca to be its adviser. Saleha Abedin still serves in the MWL till today. He returned to Egypt in 1975 where he practiced advocacy work for the Brotherhood, led by the third Leader of the Brotherhood Professor Omar al-Tilmisani until he passed away in 1977.

The Brotherhood today, under the name Justice and Freedom Party, is still racist. In Arabic, Mursi says that Egypt needs to “ban western dress” and that people who believe in “the Trinity” do not possess a “full mental faculty.” One can see the writing on the wall; the Islamist supremacy over Christians in Egypt is a repeat of Nazism. Discover the Networks described an important similarity shared by Hitler’s Nazism and al-Banna’s Muslim Brotherhood:
“Both movements sought world conquest and domination. Both were triumphalist and supremacist: in Nazism the Aryan must rule, while in al-Banna’s Islam, the Muslim religion must hold dominion.”

A final note: many will say that Walid Shoebat is a “fraud” “why should we believe him or his research?” CNN, after all, declared he was a “fraud”. It is ironic that the same CNN which defends Huma Abedin from being “vetted” demanded that the government should have “vetted” my background (and I’m not the closest advisor to the Secretary of State). CNN claimed that they did and found “no prison records” for a “Walid Shoebat” in Israel. Yet, CNN to date, has provided no “official sources” from the Israeli government, no sources from “prison officials” and “no statements” from credible witnesses; nothing they presented supports their claim. Everyone is welcome to bring “proof” to CNN’s claims. You can’t, just as you can’t debunk the irrefutable evidence we present here.

If so, please come forth.

Walid Shoebat
Shoebat.com
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